

Rule No. 15
MAIN EXTENSIONS
(continued)

A. General Provisions and Definitions

3. Definitions

c. The "adjusted construction cost," for the purposes of this rule, shall be reasonable and shall not exceed the costs recorded in conformity with generally accepted water utility accounting practices, and as specifically defined in the Uniform System of Accounts for Water Utilities prescribed by the Commission, of installing facilities of adequate capacity, for the service requested. If the utility, at its option, should install facilities with a larger capacity or resulting in a greater footage of extension than required for the service requested, the "adjusted construction cost," for the purpose of this rule shall be determined by the application of an adjustment factor to actual construction cost of facilities installed. This factor shall be the ratio of estimated cost of required facilities to estimated cost of actual facilities installed.

4. Ownership, Design, and Construction of Facilities

a. Any facilities installed hereunder shall be the sole property of the utility. In those instances in which title to certain portions of the installation, such as fire hydrants, will be held by a political subdivision, such facilities shall not be included as a part of the main extension under this rule, and will neither be owned by the utility nor subject to refund under the provisions of Section C.2. of this rule.

(N)
(N)

b. The size, type, quality of materials, and their location shall be specified by the utility; and the actual construction shall be done by the utility or by a constructing agency acceptable to it.

c. Where the property of an applicant is located adjacent to a right-of-way, exceeding 70 feet in width, for a street, highway, or other public purpose, regardless of the width of the traveled way or pavement; or on a freeway, waterway, or railroad right-of-way, the utility may elect to install a main extension on the same side thereof as the property of the applicant, and the estimated and adjusted construction costs in such case shall be based upon such an extension.

(continued)

(To be inserted by utility)

Issued by

(To be inserted by Cal. P.U.C.)

Advice Letter No. 192

R. E. Heytens

Date Filed Jun. 7, 1982

Decision No. 82-01-62

NAME

Effective Jun 11, 1982

Vice President

TITLE

Resolution No. _____